

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS SEA DEPUT

JOHN DOE, et al.

v.

Case No. 2422-CC00208-01

SSM HEALTH CARE CORPORATION d/b/a SSM HEALTH et al.

[PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

Before the Court is *Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval* of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion"), the terms of which are set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiffs John and Jane Doe ("Plaintiffs"), Donna Allen, Keeley Bogart, Melanie Burns, Detrick Clark, Richard Lilly, Julie Montiel, Jeff Ruderman, Julie Schaus, Dorothy Winston, Duane Zellmer (together with Plaintiffs, "Class Representatives"), and Defendant Navvis & Company, LLC ("Navvis") (collectively, referred to herein as the "Parties"), which is attached as **Exhibit 1** to the Declaration in Support of Preliminary Approval submitted with Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law in Support of their Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Settlement Agreement"). ¹

¹ All defined terms in this Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order") have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise indicated.

Having fully considered the issue, the Court hereby **GRANTS** the Motion and **ORDERS** as follows:

1. <u>Class Certification for Settlement Purposes Only.</u> The Settlement Agreement provides for a Settlement Class defined as follows:

All persons residing in the United States whose Private Information was compromised during the Incident. The Settlement Class specifically excludes: (i) Navvis and its officers and directors and the Related Parties; (ii) all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly opt out of the Settlement Class; (iii) any judges assigned to the Litigation and their staff and family; and (iv) any other Person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding or abetting the occurrence of the Incident or who pleads nolo contendere to any such charge.

Pursuant to Missouri Court Rule of Civil Procedure 52.08, the Court finds that giving notice is justified. The Court finds that it will likely be able to approve the proposed Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Court also finds that it will likely be able to certify the Settlement Class for purposes of judgment on the Settlement because it meets all of the requirements of Rule 52.08(a) and 52.08(b). Specifically, the Court finds, for settlement purposes, that: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all Settlement Class Members would be impracticable; (b) there are issues of law and fact that are common to the Settlement Class; (c) the claims of the Class Representatives are typical of and arise from the same operative facts and the Class Representatives seek similar relief as the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (d) the Class Representatives will fairly and adequately protect the

interests of the Settlement Class as the Class Representatives have no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with the Settlement Class and has retained experienced and competent counsel to prosecute this Litigation on behalf of the Settlement Class; (e) questions of law or fact common to Settlement Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members; and (f) a class action and class settlement is superior to other methods available for a fair and efficient resolution of this Litigation.

- 2. <u>Settlement Class Representatives and Settlement Class Counsel.</u>
 The Court finds that Class Representatives will likely satisfy the requirements of Rule 52.08(a) and should be appointed as the Class Representatives. Additionally, the Court finds that John F. Garvey, Jr. of Stranch, Jennings & Garvey, PLLC satisfies the requirements of Rule 52.08(a) and should be appointed as Settlement Class Counsel pursuant to Rule 52.08(a).
- 3. <u>Preliminary Settlement Approval</u>. Upon preliminary review, the Court finds the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to warrant providing notice of the Settlement to the Settlement Class and, accordingly, is preliminarily approved. In making this determination, the Court has considered the monetary and non-monetary benefits provided to the Settlement Class through the Settlement, the specific risks faced by the Settlement Class in prevailing on their claims, the good faith, arms' length negotiations between the Parties and absence of any collusion in

the Settlement, the effectiveness of the proposed method for distributing relief to the Settlement Class, the proposed manner of allocating benefits to Settlement Class Members, that the Settlement treats the Settlement Class Members equitably, and all of the other factors required by Rule 52.08 and relevant case law.

- 4. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Mo. Stat. § 478.070 and personal jurisdiction over the parties before it. Additionally, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to MO Stat. § 508.010.
- 5. Final Approval Hearing. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held on 10 2025 1, 2004, 2025, at 10 North Tucker Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63101, where the Court will determine, among other things, whether: (a) this Litigation should be finally certified as a class action for settlement purposes pursuant to Missouri Court Rule of Civil Procedure 52.08; (b) the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and finally approved pursuant to Missouri Court Rule of Civil Procedure 52.08; (c) this Litigation should be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (d) Settlement Class Members (who have not timely and validly excluded themselves from the Settlement) should be bound by the releases set forth in the Settlement Agreement; (e) the application of Class Counsel for an award of Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Expenses should be approved pursuant to Missouri Court Rule of Civil

Procedure 74.16; and (f) whether a Service Award should be awarded to the named Class Representatives.

- 6. <u>Settlement Administrator</u>. The Court appoints EAG Gulf Coast LLC Legal Administration Services, as the Settlement Administrator, with responsibility for class notice and settlement administration. The Settlement Administrator is directed to perform all tasks the Settlement Agreement requires. The Settlement Administrator's fees will be paid pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. Notice. The proposed notice program set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Claim Form and the notices attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibits A, B, and C are hereby approved. Non-material modifications to these Exhibits may be made by the Settlement Administrator in consultation and agreement with the Parties, but without further order of the Court.
- 8. <u>Findings Concerning Notice</u>. The Court finds that the proposed form, content, and method of giving notice to the Settlement Class as described in the notice program and the Settlement Agreement and its exhibits: (a) will constitute the best practicable notice to the Settlement Class; (b) are reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Litigation, the terms of the proposed Settlement, and their rights under the proposed Settlement, including, but not limited to, their rights to object to or exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement and other rights under the terms of the

Settlement Agreement; (c) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members and other persons entitled to receive notice; (d) meet all applicable requirements of law, including Missouri Court Rule of Civil Procedure 52.08; and (e) and meet the requirements of the Due Process Clause(s) of the United States and Missouri Constitutions. The Court further finds that the notice provided for in the Settlement Agreement is written in plain language, uses simple terminology, and is designed to be readily understandable by Settlement Class Members. The Settlement Administrator is directed to carry out the notice program in conformance with the Settlement Agreement.

be excluded from the Settlement Class must individually sign and timely and appropriately submit valid written notice of such intent to the designated Post Office box established by the Settlement Administrator in the manner provided in the notice. The written notice must (a) state a full name, address, and telephone number; (b) contain the Settlement Class Member's signature; and (c) state the Settlement Class Member's intent to be excluded from the Settlement Class and Settlement. To be effective, such requests for exclusion must be postmarked no later than the Opt-Out Date, which is no later than sixty (60) days from the date on which the notice program commences, and as stated in the notice.

The Settlement Administrator shall promptly furnish to Class Counsel and to Navvis's counsel a complete list of all timely and valid requests for exclusion (the "Opt-Out List").

If a Final Approval Order and Judgment is entered, all Persons falling within the definition of the Settlement Class who do not request to be excluded from the Settlement Class shall be bound by the terms of this Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Order and Judgment. All Persons who submit valid and timely notices of their intent to be excluded from the Settlement Class shall not receive any cash benefits of and/or be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

10. Objections and Appearances. A Settlement Class Member (who does not submit a timely written request for exclusion) desiring to object to the Settlement Agreement may submit a timely written notice of his or her objection by the Objection Date and as stated in the notice. The Long Notice and the Settlement Website shall instruct Settlement Class Members who wish to object to the Settlement Agreement to send their written objections to the Settlement Administrator at the address indicated in the Long Notice, and to file their objection with this Court. The Long Notice shall advise Settlement Class Members of the deadline for submission of any objections—the "Objection Date." Any such notices of an intent to object to the Settlement Agreement must be written and (i) the objector's full name and address; (ii) the case name and docket number—John Doe

et al. v. SSM Health Care Corporation d/b/a SSM Health et al., Case No. 2422-CC00208-01; (iii) a written statement of all grounds for the objection, accompanied by any legal support for the objection the objector believes applicable and any supporting documents; (iv) the identity of any and all counsel representing the objector in connection with the objection; (v) a statement whether the objector and/or his or her counsel will appear at the Final Approval Hearing and a list of all persons who will be called to testify at the Final Approval Hearing in support of the objection (if any); (vi) a list of any other objections submitted by the Settlement Class Member and their counsel (if he or she is represented by counsel) to any class actions submitted in any court, whether state, federal, or otherwise, in the previous three (3) years; and (vii) the objector's signature or the signature of the objector's duly authorized attorney or other duly authorized representative (if any) representing him or her in connection with the objection. An objecting Settlement Class Member has the right, but is not required to, attend the Final Approval Hearing. If an objecting Settlement Class Member intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either with or without counsel, he or she must also file a notice of appearance with the Court, as well as serve notice on Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel by the Objection Date.

Any Settlement Class Member who fails to comply with the requirements for objecting shall waive and forfeit any and all rights he or she may have to appear

separately and/or to object to the Settlement Agreement and shall be bound by all the terms of the Settlement Agreement and by all proceedings, orders, and judgments in the Litigation. The provisions stated in the Settlement Agreement shall be the exclusive means for any challenge to the Settlement Agreement. Any challenge to the Settlement Agreement, the final order approving this Settlement Agreement, or the Final Order and Judgment to be entered upon final approval shall be pursuant to appeal under the Missouri Rules of Appellate Procedure and not through a collateral attack.

Claims Process. Settlement Class Counsel and Navvis's counsel have created a process for Settlement Class Members to claim benefits under the Settlement. The Court preliminarily approves this process and directs the Settlement Administrator to make the Claim Form or its substantial equivalent available to Settlement Class Members in the manner specified in the notice.

The Settlement Administrator will be responsible for effectuating the claims process. Settlement Class Members who qualify for and wish to submit a Claim Form shall do so in accordance with the requirement and procedures specified in the notices and Claim Form. If the Final Order and Judgment is entered, all Settlement Class Members who qualify for any benefit under the Settlement but fail to submit a claim in accordance with the requirements and procedures specified in the notice and the Claim Form shall be forever barred from receiving any such benefit, but will

in all other respects be subject to and bound by the provisions in the Final Order and Judgment, including the releases contained therein.

- Termination of Settlement. This Preliminary Approval Order shall 12. become null and void and shall be without prejudice to the rights of the Parties, all of whom shall be restored to their respective positions existing before the Court entered this Preliminary Approval Order and before they entered the Settlement Agreement, if: (a) the Court does not enter this Preliminary Approval Order; (b) Settlement is not finally approved by the Court or is terminated in accordance with the Settlement Agreement; (c) there is no Effective Date; or (d) otherwise consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. In such event, (a) the Parties and Defendant SSM Healthcare Corporation d/b/a SSM Health ("SSM") shall be restored to their respective positions in the Litigation and shall jointly request that all scheduled Litigation deadlines be reasonably extended by the Court so as to avoid prejudice to any Party or Party's counsel; (b) the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Parties or SSM and shall not be used in the Litigation or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and (c) any judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be treated as vacated, nunc pro tunc.
 - 13. <u>Use of Order</u>. This Preliminary Approval Order shall be of no force or effect if the Final Order and Judgment is not entered or there is no Effective Date

and shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against Navvis or SSM of any fault, wrongdoing, breach, or liability. Nor shall this Preliminary Approval Order be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against Class Representatives or any other Settlement Class Member that his or her claims lack merit or that the relief requested is inappropriate, improper, unavailable, or as a waiver by any Party or SSM of any defense or claims they may have in this Litigation or in any other lawsuit. Nor shall this Preliminary Approval Order be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration with respect to whether a class should be certified.

- Continuance of Hearing. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Approval Hearing and related deadlines without further written notice to the Settlement Class. If the Court alters any of those dates or times, the revised dates and times shall be posted on the Settlement Website maintained by the Settlement Administrator. The Court may approve the Settlement, with such modifications as may be agreed upon by the Parties, if appropriate, without further notice to the Settlement Class.
- 15. <u>Stay of Litigation</u>. All proceedings in the Litigation, other than those related to approval of the Settlement Agreement, are hereby stayed. Further, any actions brought by Settlement Class Members concerning the Released Claims are hereby enjoined and stayed pending final approval of the Settlement Agreement.

16. <u>Schedule and Deadlines</u>. The Court orders the following schedule of dates for the specified actions/further proceedings:

SETTLEMENT TIMELINE

From Order Granting Preliminary	
Approval	A Date of the second
Defendant provides Settlement Class List to	+7 days after Preliminary Approval
the Settlement Administrator	
Defendant will cause to be deposited	+30 days after Preliminary Approval
\$5,500,000 into the Settlement	
Administration Fund (Tranche I)	
Settlement Website Active	+30 days after Preliminary Approval
Notice Commencement Date	+30 days after Preliminary Approval, to be
	substantially completed no later than 45
	days after Preliminary Approval
Defendant will cause to be deposited	+730 days after Preliminary Approval
\$500,000 into the Settlement Administration	
Fund (Tranche II)	
Defendant will cause to be deposited	+1,095 days after Preliminary Approval
\$500,000 into the Settlement Administration	
Fund, if necessary (Tranche III)	
From Notice Date	
Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees,	+45 days after Notice Commencement
Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and	Date
Class Representatives' Service Awards	
Objection Date	+60 days after Notice Commencement
	Date
Opt-Out Date	+60 days after Notice Commencement
	Date
Opt-Out List; Objection List	+7 days after Opt-Out Date and Objection
	Date
Claims Deadline	+90 days after Notice Commencement
	Date
Final Approval Hearing	No less than + 120 days after Preliminary
	Approval Order
Motion for Final Approval	-14 days before Final Approval Hearing

Settlement Administrator Provide to Court	-14 days before Final Approval Hearing
Notice of Opt-Outs and/or Objections	
Final Approval	
Effective Date	+30 days, assuming no appeals or objections (see ¶ 1.8 for definition)
Settlement Administrator Payment of	+10 days after Effective Date
Attorneys' Fees and Expenses to Class	
Counsel	
Settlement Administrator disburses monetary	+ 21 days after Effective Date
benefit to Settlement Class Members	
Settlement Administrator provides CMIS	+30 days after Effective Date
instructions to Settlement Class Members	
Settlement Administrator mail Settlement	+90 days after Effective Date
Payments	
Settlement Website Deactivation	+90 days after Effective Date